

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A PRI LON NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO. 09/065,330	04/23/1998	AMEAE M. WALKER	2500.097US2	7326	
20775	20995 7590 08/25/2003 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			SAOUD, CHRISTINE J		
IRVINE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647 DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	39	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/065,330

Applicant(s)

WALKER

Examiner

Christine Saoud

Art Unit **1647**

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address		
for Reply				
MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		H(S) FROM		
g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 2, 20)03	•		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
tion of Claims				
Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-11</u>	is/are	e pending in the application.		
a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s) 9-11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are allowed.		
Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>		is/are rejected.		
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
Claims		tion and/or election requirement.		
tion Papers				
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.		
		b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign processing the second sec	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).		
☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☒ None of:				
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	e been received in Application N	0		
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage		
	·	^l		
		<i>5</i>		
) and/or 121.		
ent(s)	P	una, or i = i :		
tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	lo(s)		
tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P			
ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			
I N signer state of the state o	For Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In juste of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filled on Jun 2, 20 This action is FINAL. 2b) This act Since this application is in condition for allowance a closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa tion of Claims Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-11 a) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) 9-11 Claim(s) 1-6 Claim(s) Claim(s) 1-6 Claim(s) Claim(s) 1-6 Claim(s) The drawing(s) filled on is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filled on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr All b) Some* c) None of: Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the certified copies of the priority documents have constituted to the translation of the foreign language provisio	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The communication of the provisions of 37 CRR 1.136 (al. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled juste of this communication. Joint of this communication of this communication of this communication of the provision of 17 CRR 1.136 (al. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled justed of this communication of the provision of		

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02 June 2003 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Any objection or rejection of record which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments and Declaration filed 02 June 2003 have been fully considered. Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are pending in the instant application.
- 5. The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 02 June 2003 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-6 and 9-11 based upon obviousness over the combination of Cooke et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,725,549) and A. M. Walker (TEM, 5(5): 195-200) in view of Maciejewski et al. (J. Biol. Chem. 270(17): 27661-27665, 1995).

Application/Control Number: 09/065,330

Art Unit: 1647

The Declaration is persuasive in the fact that the bovine S90 mutant is a weak antagonist, while the human S179 mutant is a super-antagonist. Even if the prior art would make obvious the invention as claimed for the substitution of position 179, the result of the substitution is an unexpected result in that the mutant obtained is a much greater antagonist than would have been predicted from the same type of substitution in the bovine protein. (*Dillon*, 919 F.2d at 692-93, 16 USPQ2d at 1901). However, the unexpected results must be reasonably commensurate in scope with what is being claimed (see *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 1149, 14 USPQ2d 1056, 1058 (Fed. Cir. 1990); *In re Grasselli*, 713 F.2d 731, 743, 218 USPQ 769, 777 (Fed. Cir. 1983)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a nucleic acid encoding a human prolactin mutant wherein the serine at position 179 is substituted with aspartate or glutamate, does not reasonably provide enablement for "species equivalents" or other mutations. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/065,330

Art Unit: 1647

The instant claims encompass nucleic acid molecules which encode mutated prolactin of SEQ ID NO:1 "or a species equivalent", wherein the encoded protein mimics phophorylated prolactin "corresponding to a selected species", wherein the mimic antagonizes nonphosphorylated prolactin and encompasses any mutation corresponding to the serine at position 179. The instant specification discloses mutation of human prolactin at position 179, by substitution with aspartate or glutamate for the native serine. The substituted protein has the activity of being a super-antagonist to non-phosphorylated human prolactin. As pointed out above, this result is unexpected in light of the prior art of record. However, the instant specification fails to teach any other mutations (other amino acids or other types of mutations) which would be expected to result in an antagonistic molecule compared to non-phosphorylated prolactin, as well as failing to teach substitution in any other species of prolactin. As pointed out in the Declaration of paper #38, the human substituted protein is unexpectedly a super-antagonist compared to the bovine substituted protein. The record does not establish that this result could be expected of mutation of other species of prolactin because substitution of the major phosphorylation site in the bovine protein did not produce this result. It would be obvious to try the substitution with other species, but this is merely an invitation to experiment. It is noted that the instant specification contemplates substitution of rat prolactin (position 177 corresponds to the human 179), but there is no data with which to compare to determine if the unexpected results carries over to the corresponding position in other species. It is not scientifically prudent to attempt to extrapolate unexpected results from a single data point to a genus of compounds, therefore, the breadth of the claims is not commensurate in scope with the instant disclosure and

Application/Control Number: 09/065,330

Art Unit: 1647

unexpected results presented in the Declaration. It would require undue experimentation to practice the claimed invention because one of ordinary skill in the art would not have a reasonable expectation of success in mutating other species of prolactin and producing an antagonistic molecule.

Conclusion

8. Claims 9-11 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Saoud, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8AM to 2PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556. If this number is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternate number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Christin J. Saoud